

Formalization of an Ideology

Patrick Schäfer

University of Cologne

October 6th, 2025

Overview

Motivation

Why Social-Darwinism

Naproche

Structure of the Thesis

The Ideology

Model

Constituents

Axioms

Theorems

Critique

Motivation

- ▶ This is my master thesis in economics
- ▶ Economics uses mathematics to formulate its theories
 - ▶ Idea of how the economy works → Formalization → Empirical critique
- ▶ Key advantages of formalization:
 - ▶ transparency of assumptions
 - ▶ unambiguous conclusions
 - ▶ empirical testing and falsification
- ▶ Define ideologies as coherent worldviews
- ▶ Formalization allows testing and structured scientific discourse

Why Social-Darwinism

- ▶ Analytical suitability
 - ▶ Conceptually simple
 - ▶ Mostly coherent
- ▶ Clarity of source
 - ▶ Authoritative text: *Mein Kampf*
 - ▶ Fixed in time, not fluid
 - ▶ Ideas were put into practice
- ▶ Relevance
 - ▶ Little direct comparison with the evidence
 - ▶ A lot of literature on contextualizing the ideology
 - ▶ A lot of science on refuting its assumptions implicitly
 - ▶ Retains an undeserved mystique (Hitler, Hartmann, et al., 2016)

Naproche

- ▶ Naproche (Natural Proof Checking) is a proof assistant
- ▶ It processes a controlled fragment of natural mathematical language
 - ▶ supports some standard grammatical structures
 - ▶ supports some symbolic notation
 - ▶ supports definition-theorem-proof format
- ▶ Translation into first-order logic tasks
 - ▶ handled by built-in reasoning modules
 - ▶ or by external automated theorem provers
- ▶ Natural reading of code is a precondition for using formalization in economics
- ▶ Can bring advantages of formalization to subjects outside of mathematics
 - ▶ elimination of ambiguity
 - ▶ enhanced verifiability
 - ▶ enabling large-scale collaborations, etc.

Two Pages of the Formalization

I Theorems: Rise of Nations

Mein Kampf ends by stating that a state that would put its highest quality people in positions of influence, would “with almost mathematical certainty” bound to dominate the world [p. 1619⁽²³⁾]. The following theorem shows that if the average quality of a nation remain high, its culture goes against infinity and in an environment with otherwise low average quality has a share converging to one.

[read examples/darwinism/35lemmata.fl.tex][check off][prove off]

Let W denote a world. Let t denote a point in time.

Definition 358. Let $n \in N^W$. n is continually high quality in W iff $Q_n^W(t) = R^W(5)$ for all points in time t .

If a nation continues to have a high quality level, then the culture level goes against infinity (p. 767⁽²⁴⁾).

Proposition 359. Let $n \in N^W$. Assume n is continually high quality in W . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} C_n^W(x) = \infty.$$

Proof. C_n^W is a differentiable real map.

For all $t \in [0, \infty)$ $Q_n^W(t) \geq R^W(4)$ and $\partial C_n^W(t) \geq \psi^W$ (by def continually high quality, lemma change in culture 1). $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} C_n^W(x) = \infty$ (by lemma 8). ■

In the following theorem it is shown that a high quality nation in a low quality environment will dominate long-term, i.e. its share converges against one. The proof of the theorem has to steps: first, it has to be established that the its share ($S_1^W(t)$) converges at all; and second that this point of convergence is one. To prove the convergence, we define $\psi(t) := F_1^W(t) - F_2^W(t)$ and in (A) show that if $\psi(t) < 0$ then $\partial \psi(t) \geq \mu$ for some positive μ . This allows us to employ two lemmata saying that there exists a point in time τ such that $\psi(\tau) > 0$ and for all $t \geq \tau$ $\psi(t) \geq 0$. Since we assume the, world is practically bipolar, we can reformulate the

share development axiom to

$$\partial S_1^W(t) = \left(\frac{m-1}{m} \cdot (F_1^W(t) - F_2^W(t))\right) \cdot S_1^W(t).$$

Thus $S_1^W(t)$ is increasing for all $t \geq \tau$. Since S_1^W is also bounded above by one, S_1^W converges.

Next, it is to show that S_1^W 's convergence point p is equal to one. Trivially $p \in (0, 1]$, as $S_1^W(\tau) > 0$. Thus it is left to show that $p \notin (0, 1)$. Assume for the purpose of contradiction that $p \in (0, 1)$, we can find a point in time τ' , for which $\partial S_1^W(t) \geq \nu$ for some positive real number ν , assuming that S_1^W converges against p . But then $S_1^W(t) \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts that $S_1^W(t) \rightarrow p$. Therefore $p \notin (0, 1)$ and $p = 1$.

Theorem 360 (Rise of Nations). Assume W is mostly low quality. Assume 1 is continually high quality in W . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} S_1^W(x) = 1.$$

Proof. Take $m = |N^W|$. Take $s = S_1^W$. Take $qL = R^W(1)$. Take $qH = R^W(5)$. (0) Take $\psi = (F_1^W + (-F_2^W))$.

(2) ψ is a differentiable real map (by minus derivative 2, derivative addition rule 2). Indeed F_1^W, F_2^W are differentiable real maps (by signature fitness development, lemma fitness development real map).

(4) s is a differentiable real map (by share development of nation is real map, ax share development).

Take $\mu = (\eta^W)^2$. (3) μ is a positive real number and 0 is a real number.

(A) ψ is satisfying nonnegativity condition larger μ since 0 (by def nonnegativity condition). Indeed we can show that for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ if $\psi(t) < 0$ then $\partial \psi(t) \geq \mu$. Proof. Let $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assume $\psi(t) < 0$.

(1) $\partial Q_1^W(t) = 0$. Proof. (1A) $Q_1^W = \mathbb{f}_{0, \perp, R^W(5)}$. Proof. Let e' be a point in time. $R^W(5) = \mathbb{f}_{0, \perp, R^W(5)}(e')$ (by linear lem 1). $Q_1^W(e') = R^W(5) = \mathbb{f}_{0, \perp, R^W(5)}(e')$. End.

Structure of the Thesis

- ▶ Introduction
 - ▶ Summary and structure of the paper
 - ▶ Motivation and challenges
 - ▶ Introduction to Naproche
- ▶ Ideological content of *Mein Kampf*
- ▶ Formal model
 - ▶ Assumptions from the text
 - ▶ Formalization process
- ▶ Strengths and weaknesses of the model
- ▶ Application: Hitler's foreign policy prediction
- ▶ Critique of assumptions
- ▶ Conclusion

The Ideology

*"As [a **high quality** / Aryan] conqueror subjugated the inferior peoples, and regulated their practical activity under his orders, according to his will, and for his own purposes[... , he became] the preserver and encourager of **civilization**. For this depended entirely upon his abilities, and thus on his survival. [... With time] the Aryan surrendered the purity of his blood, and thus [...] went down in the **mixture of races**, and gradually **lost more and more of his cultural capacities** until finally he began to resemble the aborigine more than his own forefathers [...]. For a time he could still live upon existing cultural substance, but then ossification set in, and finally oblivion claimed him.*

*Thus civilizations and empires **collapse** to make way for new structures." (Hitler and Unknown, [1939](#), p. 288)*

Model: Constituents

A world $W = (N^W, R^W, D^W, I^W, Q^W, C^W, F^W, S^W, \eta^W)$ has

- ▶ nations $N^W = \{1, \dots, n\}$,
- ▶ racial categorization $R^W \in [0, 1]^5$ with $R^W(i) < R^W(i + 1)$.
- ▶ demographics $D^W : N^W \rightarrow (T \rightarrow [0, 1]^3)$ with $l^{D^W}(t) + m^{D^W}(t) + h^{D^W}(t) = 1$,
- ▶ influence functions $I^W : N^W \rightarrow (T \rightarrow ([0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}))$,
- ▶ average qualities $Q^W : N^W \rightarrow (T \rightarrow [0, 1])$,
- ▶ cultural levels $C^W : N^W \rightarrow (T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$,
- ▶ fitness levels $F^W : N^W \rightarrow (T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$,
- ▶ shares $S^W : N^W \rightarrow (T \rightarrow [0, 1])$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{|N^W|} S_n^W(t) = 1$.

$\eta^W > 0$ is a technical parameter.

Model: Axioms

- Change of demography:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial I^D(t) &= I^D(t) \cdot (I^D(t) - 1 + 2m^D(t)), \\ \partial m^D(t) &= m^D(t) \cdot (m^D(t) - 1 + 2h^D(t)) + 2h^D(t)I^D(t), \\ \partial h^D(t) &= h^D(t) \cdot (h^D(t) - 1).\end{aligned}$$

- Change of culture $\partial C_n^W(t) = fc^W(C_n^W(t), Q_n^W(t))$:

$$\begin{aligned}Q_n^W(t) \geq R^W(4) &\implies \partial C_n^W(t) \geq \eta^W, \\ [\forall t' \in [t, \infty) : Q_n^W(t') \leq R^W(2)] &\implies \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} C_n^W(x) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Change of fitness $F_n^W(t) = ff^W((C_n^W(t), Q_n^W(t), S_n^W(t)))$:

$$D_1 ff^W(t) \geq \eta^W, \quad D_2 ff^W(t) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad D_3 ff^W(t) < 0.$$

- Share development:

$$\partial S_n^W(t) = (F_n^W(t) - \bar{F}^W(t)) \cdot S_n^W(t).$$

Model: Theorems

Theorem (Rise of Nations)

Assume W is mostly low quality. Assume 1 is continually high quality in W . Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} S_1^W(x) = 1.$$

Theorem (Summary Theorem Fall)

Assume W is mostly low quality. Assume 1 is nondiscriminating in W . Assume $I_1^{D^W}(0) > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} S_1^W(x) = \frac{1}{|N^W|}.$$

Strength and Weaknesses of the Model

Hitler saw the mission of humanity in increasing culture (Hitler and Unknown, [1939](#), p. 389).

- ▶ Abolition of democracy
- ▶ Genocide of Jews
- ▶ World conquest

What is not modelled:

- ▶ No interior politics
- ▶ Specific foreign policy
- ▶ Development of new races (“Microfoundation”)

Critique

- ▶ Race is not a biological concept:
 - ▶ Only one species: *homo sapiens*.
 - ▶ “99.8% of whole genome is identical between two [randomly-chosen] individuals” (B.-J. Kim, Choi, and S.-H. Kim, 2023, p. 11)
 - ▶ The “majority of human genetic diversity exists within local populations (85%), with much less among local populations (5%) or between major geographic regions or ‘races’ (10%)” (Relethford, 2002, p. 1)
- ▶ That self-sacrifice is independent of race (Whitehouse, 2018)
- ▶ Failed prediction in *Mein Kampf*
 - ▶ Collapse of Russia (Hitler and Unknown, 1939, p. 636)
 - ▶ Rise of Germany (Hitler and Unknown, 1939, Afterword)
 - ▶ Impossibility of a Jewish state (Hitler and Unknown, 1939, p. 294)
- ▶ Hitler has no concept of human dignity and thus human rights

Thank you very much!

Questions?

References

-  Hitler, Adolf, Christian Hartmann, et al. (2016). *Hitler, Mein Kampf: eine kritische Edition*. München: Institut für Zeitgeschichte. ISBN: 978-3-9814052-3-1.
-  Hitler, Adolf and Unknown (1939). *The Complete Unauthorized Mein Kampf*. Harrisburg: Stackpole Sons.
-  Kim, Byung-Ju, JaeJin Choi, and Sung-Hou Kim (Apr. 18, 2023). “On whole-genome demography of world’s ethnic groups and individual genomic identity”. In: *Scientific Reports* 13.1, p. 6316. ISSN: 2045-2322.
-  Relethford, John H. (Aug. 2002). “Apportionment of global human genetic diversity based on craniometrics and skin color”. In: *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 118.4, pp. 393–398. ISSN: 0002-9483, 1096-8644.
-  Whitehouse, Harvey (2018). “Dying for the group: Towards a general theory of extreme self-sacrifice”. In: *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 41, e192. ISSN: 0140-525X, 1469-1825.