

CDCL-based Abstract State Transition System for Coherent Logic

Mladen Nikolić Predrag Janičić
Faculty of Mathematics
University of Belgrade

CICM 2012
Bremen, July 09-July 13, 2012.

Overview

- Coherent logic (CL) and our motivation
- The CDCL-based abstract transition system for CL
- Related work
- Conclusions and further work

What is Coherent Logic

- Coherent logic is a fragment of FOL with formulae of form:

$$A_1(\vec{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge A_n(\vec{x}) \Rightarrow \exists \vec{y}_1 B_1(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_1) \vee \dots \vee \exists \vec{y}_m B_m(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_m)$$

A_i are atoms, B_i are conjunctions of atoms

- No function symbols of arity greater than 0
- No negation
- Translation from FOL to CL
- The problem of deciding $\Gamma \vdash \Phi$ is semi-decidable
- Intuitionistic logic
- First used by Skolem, recently popularized by Bezem et al.

Why is CL interesting?

- A number of theories and theorems can be formulated directly and simply in CL
- Example: large fraction of Euclidean geometry belongs to CL
- Example: *for any two points there is a point between them*
- Conjectures in abstract algebra, confluence theory, lattice theory, and many more (Bezem et al)

Good features of CL

- It is expressive
- It allows direct, readable and machine verifiable proofs
 - a simple, natural proof system (natural deduction style), based on forward ground reasoning
 - a conjecture is kept unchanged and proved directly (refutation, Skolemization and clausal form are not used)
 - existential quantifiers are eliminated by introducing witnesses

CL provers

- Euclid by Stevan Kordić and Predrag Janičić (1992)
- CL prover by Marc Bezem and Coquand (2005)
- ML prover by Berghofer and Bezem (2006)
- Geo by Hans de Nivelle (2008)
- ArgoCLP by Sana Stojanović, Vesna Pavlović and Predrag Janičić (2009)
- However, they are still not generally efficient

Example: Proof Generated by ArgoCLP

Let us prove that $p = r$ by reductio ad absurdum.

1. Assume that $p \neq r$.
2. It holds that the point A is incident to the line q or the point A is not incident to the line q (by axiom of excluded middle).
3. Assume that the point A is incident to the line q .
 4. From the facts that $p \neq q$, and the point A is incident to the line p , and the point A is incident to the line q , it holds that the lines p and q intersect (by axiom `ax_D5`).
 5. From the facts that the lines p and q intersect, and the lines p and q do not intersect we get a contradiction.
Contradiction.
6. Assume that the point A is not incident to the line q .
 7. From the facts that the lines p and q do not intersect, it holds that the lines q and p do not intersect (by axiom `ax_nint_LL21`).
 8. From the facts that the point A is not incident to the line q , and the point A is incident to the plane α , and the line q is incident to the plane α , and the point A is incident to the line p , and the line p is incident to the plane α , and the lines q and p do not intersect, and the point A is incident to the line r , and the line r is incident to the plane α , and the lines q and r do not intersect, it holds that $p = r$ (by axiom `ax_E2`).
 9. From the facts that $p = r$, and $p \neq r$ we get a contradiction.
Contradiction.

Therefore, it holds that $p = r$.

This proves the conjecture.

On the Other Hand: CDCL Solvers

- SAT problem and SAT solvers
- SAT and SMT solvers are at rather mature stage
- The most efficient ones are CDCL solvers
- However, support for quantifiers depends on theory solvers (most theory solvers allow only quantifier free formulae)
- Producing readable and/or formal proofs is often challenging
- Goal: combine good features of CL and CDCL and build an efficient CDCL prover for CL

Abstract State Transition Systems for SAT

- Inspiration and starting point: transition systems for SAT
- First system: Nieuwenhuis, Oliveras, and Tinelli (2006)
- We build upon: the system by Krstić and Goel (2007)

Krstić and Goel's System

Decide:

$$\frac{I \in L \quad I, \bar{I} \notin M}{M := M|I}$$

UnitPropag:

$$\frac{I \vee I_1 \vee \dots \vee I_k \in F \quad \bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_k \in M \quad I, \bar{I} \notin M}{M := M I'}$$

Conflict:

$$\frac{C = \text{no_cflct} \quad \bar{I}_1 \vee \dots \vee \bar{I}_k \in F \quad I_1, \dots, I_k \in M}{C := \{I_1, \dots, I_k\}}$$

Explain:

$$\frac{I \in C \quad I \vee \bar{I}_1 \vee \dots \vee \bar{I}_k \in F \quad I_1, \dots, I_k \prec I}{C := C \cup \{I_1, \dots, I_k\} \setminus \{I\}}$$

Learn:

$$\frac{C = \{I_1, \dots, I_k\} \quad \bar{I}_1 \vee \dots \vee \bar{I}_k \notin F}{F := F \cup \{\bar{I}_1 \vee \dots \vee \bar{I}_k\}}$$

Backjump:

$$\frac{C = \{I, I_1, \dots, I_k\} \quad \bar{I} \vee \bar{I}_1 \vee \dots \vee \bar{I}_k \in F \quad \text{level } I > m \geq \text{level } I_i}{C := \text{no_cflct} \quad M := M^m \bar{I}'}$$

Forget:

$$\frac{C = \text{no_cflct} \quad c \in F \quad F \setminus c \models c}{F := F \setminus c}$$

Restart:

$$\frac{C = \text{no_cflct}}{M := M^{[0]}}$$

Setup

- Signature: Σ ; axioms: \mathcal{AX} ; conjecture: $\forall \vec{x} (\mathcal{H}^0(\vec{x}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0(\vec{x}))$
- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^0(\vec{x})\lambda$, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^0(\vec{x})\lambda$
- State: $S(\Sigma, \Gamma, M, \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \ell)$
- Initial state: $S_0(\Sigma_0, \mathcal{AX}, \mathcal{H}, \emptyset, \emptyset, |\Sigma_0|)$
- Accepting final state: a lemma is derived which implies the conjecture
- Rejecting final state: no rules are applicable
- Slightly extended CL language:

$$\forall \vec{x} p_1(\vec{v}, \vec{x}) \wedge \dots \wedge \forall \vec{x} p_n(\vec{v}, \vec{x}) \Rightarrow \exists \vec{y} q_1(\vec{v}, \vec{y}) \vee \dots \vee \exists \vec{y} q_m(\vec{v}, \vec{y})$$

CL state transition system (forward rules)

Decide:

$$\frac{I \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \quad I \not\vdash \quad I \not\downarrow}{M := M|I \quad \Sigma := \Sigma|}$$

Intro:

$$\frac{\exists \bar{y} I \in M \quad (\exists \bar{y} I)\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \quad I\lambda\lambda' \not\vdash \text{ for any } \lambda'}{M := M \frown I[y_1 \mapsto c^{\ell+1}, \dots, y_k \mapsto c^{\ell+k}]\lambda \quad \Sigma := \Sigma \frown c^{\ell+1}, \dots, c^{\ell+k} \quad \ell := \ell + k}$$

Unit propagate left:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P} \cup \{I\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \in^{n_1} \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow_{\lambda}^m \quad m(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \subseteq^{n_2} M \quad \bar{I}\lambda \not\vdash \quad \bar{I}\lambda \not\downarrow}{M := M \frown^{\max(n_1, n_2)} \bar{I}\lambda}$$

Unit propagate right:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \cup \{I\} \in^{n_1} \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow_{\lambda}^m \quad m(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q})^{n_2} \subseteq M \quad I\lambda \not\vdash \quad I\lambda \not\downarrow}{M := M \frown^{\max(n_1, n_2)} I\lambda}$$

Branch end:

$$\frac{C_2 = \{\text{no_cflct}\} \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow}{C_1 := \mathcal{P} \quad C_2 := \mathcal{Q}}$$

CL state transition system (backward rules)

Explain left \forall :

$$\frac{C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \downarrow^m \quad I \in m(C_1) \quad S = m^{-1}(I) \quad S \Rightarrow \forall \bar{x} p(\bar{v}, \bar{x}) \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \cup \{p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')\} \in \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow^{m'} \quad m'(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \prec I \quad \overline{\forall \bar{x} p(\bar{v}, \bar{x})} \times_{\lambda} p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')}{C_1 := (\forall \bar{x}' \mathcal{P} \cup (C_1 \setminus S))\lambda \quad C_2 := (\exists \bar{x}' \mathcal{Q} \cup C_2)\lambda}$$

Explain left \exists :

$$\frac{C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \downarrow^m \quad I \in m(C_1) \quad S = m^{-1}(I) \quad S \Rightarrow_{\sigma} p(\bar{v}, \bar{x}) \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \cup \{\exists \bar{x}' p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')\} \in \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow^{m'} \quad m'(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \prec I \quad \frac{p(\bar{v}, \bar{x})}{\sigma} \times_{\lambda} \exists \bar{x}' p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')}{C_1 := (\mathcal{P} \cup \forall \bar{x}(C_1 \sigma \setminus S \sigma))\lambda \quad C_2 := (\mathcal{Q} \cup \exists \bar{x}(C_2 \sigma))\lambda}$$

Explain right \forall :

$$\frac{C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \downarrow^m \quad I \in m(C_2) \quad S = m^{-1}(I) \quad S \Rightarrow_{\sigma} p(\bar{v}, \bar{x}) \quad \{\forall \bar{x}' p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')\} \cup \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow^{m'} \quad m'(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \prec I \quad p(\bar{v}, \bar{x}) \times_{\lambda} \overline{\forall \bar{x}' p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')}}{C_1 := (\mathcal{P} \cup \forall \bar{x}(C_1 \sigma))\lambda \quad C_2 := (\mathcal{Q} \cup \exists \bar{x}(C_2 \sigma \setminus S \sigma))\lambda}$$

Explain right \exists :

$$\frac{C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \downarrow^m \quad I \in m(C_2) \quad S = m^{-1}(I) \quad S \Rightarrow \exists \bar{x} p(\bar{v}, \bar{x}) \quad \{p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')\} \cup \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma \quad \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \downarrow^{m'} \quad m'(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \prec I \quad \exists \bar{x} p(\bar{v}, \bar{x}) \times_{\lambda} \overline{p(\bar{v}', \bar{x}')}}{C_1 := (\forall \bar{x}' \mathcal{P} \cup C_1)\lambda \quad C_2 := (\exists \bar{x}' \mathcal{Q} \cup (C_2 \setminus S))\lambda}$$

Learn:

$$\frac{C_2 \neq \{\text{no_cflct}\} \quad C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \notin \Gamma}{\Gamma := \Gamma \frown C_1 \Rightarrow C_2}$$

Backjump:

$$\frac{C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \in \Gamma \quad C_1 \Rightarrow C_2 \downarrow^m \quad I \in m(C_1) \quad S = m^{-1}(I) \quad C_1 \setminus S \Rightarrow C_2 \downarrow_{\lambda}^{m'} \quad m' \subseteq m \quad m'(C_1 \setminus S \cup C_2) \subseteq^n M \quad I \in^{n'} M \quad n \leq t < n' \quad S\lambda \Rightarrow I'}{M := M^t \frown^{n'} I' \quad \Sigma := \Sigma^t \quad C_1 := \emptyset \quad C_2 := \{\text{no_cflct}\}}$$

Decide

$$\text{SAT: } \frac{I \in L \quad I, \bar{I} \notin M}{M := M|I}$$

$$\text{CL: } \frac{I \in \mathcal{QA}(\Sigma) \quad I \uparrow \quad I \downarrow}{M := M|I \quad \Sigma := \Sigma|}$$

$$\text{CL example: } \frac{\exists y P(a, y) \in \mathcal{QA}(\Sigma) \quad M = Q(a)}{M = Q(a) | \exists y P(a, y)}$$

Generalized resolution for conflict analysis

$$\frac{\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow Q \cup \{\exists \vec{y} p(\vec{x}, \vec{y})\} \quad \{p(\vec{x}', \vec{y}')\} \cup \mathcal{P}' \Rightarrow Q'}{(\mathcal{P} \cup \forall \vec{y}' \mathcal{P}' \Rightarrow Q \cup \exists \vec{y}' Q')\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow Q \cup \{p(\vec{x}, \vec{y})\} \quad \{\forall \vec{x}' p(\vec{x}', \vec{y}')\} \cup \mathcal{P}' \Rightarrow Q'}{(\forall \vec{x} \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}' \Rightarrow \exists \vec{x} Q \cup Q')\sigma}$$

Basic properties

- Sound
- Complete with additional rule for iterative deepening
- First order reasoning

Example of system operation

$$(Ax1) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$(Ax2) \quad s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y)$$

$$(Ax3) \quad s(x) \vee q(y, y)$$

$$(Conj) \quad (\forall x \forall y p(x, y)) \Rightarrow \perp$$

Rule applied	Σ	$\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}$ (lemmas)	M	$\mathcal{C}_1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$
	a	\emptyset	$p(x, y)$	$\emptyset \Rightarrow \{no_cflct\}$
Decide	$a $	\emptyset	$p(x, y) s(x)$	$\emptyset \Rightarrow \{no_cflct\}$
U.p.r. (Ax2)	$a $	\emptyset	$p(x, y) s(x), \exists y q(x, y)$	$\emptyset \Rightarrow \{no_cflct\}$
Intro	$a b$	\emptyset	$p(x, y) s(x), \exists y q(x, y), q(a, b)$	$\emptyset \Rightarrow \{no_cflct\}$
B.e. (Ax1)	$a b$	\emptyset	$p(x, y) s(x), \exists y q(x, y), q(a, b)$	$p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp$
E.l. \exists (Ax2)	$a b$	\emptyset	$p(x, y) s(x), \exists y q(x, y), q(a, b)$	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$
Learn	$a b$	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$	$p(x, y) s(x), \exists y q(x, y), q(a, b)$	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$
B.j.	a	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$	$p(x, y), \overline{s(x)}$	$\emptyset \Rightarrow \{no_cflct\}$
U.p.r. (Ax3)	a	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$	$p(x, y), \overline{s(x)}, q(y, y)$	$\emptyset \Rightarrow \{no_cflct\}$
B.e. (Ax1)	a	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$	$p(x, y), \overline{s(x)}, q(y, y)$	$p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp$
E.r. (Ax3)	a	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$	$p(x, y), \overline{s(x)}, q(y, y)$	$p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)$
E.r. (lemma)	a	$\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp$	$p(x, y), \overline{s(x)}, q(y, y)$	$p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}} \quad p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1)}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}, p(x, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(a, b)} \Rightarrow (Ax1)}{\exists y q(a, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{\exists y q(x, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax3)}{s(x)} \Rightarrow (Ax3)} \Rightarrow (Ax3)$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)} \quad \frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}}{p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp}$$

$$\mathcal{AX}, p(x, y)$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)} \quad \frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}}{p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{q(y, y)}}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}, p(x, y)}}{\overline{s(x)}} \vee(Ax3)$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}}{p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp}$$

$$\frac{\overline{q(y, y)}}{\mathcal{AX}, p(x, y)} \quad \frac{\overline{s(x)}}{\vee(Ax3)}$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}} \quad p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1)}{\mathcal{AX}, p(x, y)} \quad \frac{\overline{s(x)}}{\vee(Ax3)}$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)} \quad \frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}}{p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1)}{\mathcal{AX}, p(x, y)} \quad \frac{\overline{s(x)}}{\vee(Ax3)}$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)} \quad \frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}}{p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1) \quad \frac{\overline{\exists y q(x, y)}}{s(x)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{\mathcal{AX}, p(x, y)} \vee (Ax3)$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}} \quad p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1) \quad \frac{\frac{\exists y q(a, y)}{\exists y q(x, y)} \text{ Inst}}{s(x)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}, p(x, y)} \vee(Ax3)$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}} \quad p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1) \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{q(a, b)}{\exists y q(a, y)} \exists \quad Inst}{\exists y q(x, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{s(x)} \vee (Ax3)}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}, p(x, y)}$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}} \quad p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1) \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{q(a, b)}{\exists y q(a, y)} \exists \quad Inst}{\exists y q(x, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{s(x)} \vee (Ax3)}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}, p(x, y)}$$

Forward chaining proofs

$$\frac{\frac{s(x) \vee q(y, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{p(x, x) \Rightarrow s(z)}}{\frac{s(x) \Rightarrow \exists y q(x, y) \quad p(x, y) \wedge q(x, y) \Rightarrow \perp}{\forall y p(x, y) \wedge s(x) \Rightarrow \perp}} \quad p(x, x) \wedge \forall y p(z, y) \Rightarrow \perp$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(y, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax1)}{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X}, p(x, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\perp}{q(a, b)} \Rightarrow (Ax1)}{\exists y q(a, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax2)}{\exists y q(x, y)} \Rightarrow (Ax3)}{s(x)} \Rightarrow (Ax3)} \Rightarrow (Ax3)$$

Readable proof

- Assume $\forall x \forall y p(x, y)$.
- By (Ax3), it holds $\forall x s(x)$ or $\forall y q(y, y)$.
- Assume $\forall y q(y, y)$.
 - By (Ax1), this leads to contradiction.
- Assume $\forall x s(x)$.
 - By (Ax2), it holds $\forall x \exists y q(x, y)$.
 - From $\forall x \exists y q(x, y)$, it holds $\exists y q(a, y)$.
 - From $\exists y q(a, y)$, there is b such that $q(a, b)$.
 - By (Ax1), this leads to contradiction.

Related work

	FOL fragment	Lemma learning	Reasoning	Readable proofs
Euclid (Janičić, Kordić)	CL	No	Ground	Yes
Bezem's CL prover (Bezem)	CL	No	Ground	Yes
Geo (de Nivelle)	CL-like	Yes	Ground	No
ArgoCLP (Stojanović, Pavlović, Janičić)	CL	No	Ground	Yes
Darwin (Baumgartner, Tinelli, Fuchs, Pelzer)	Clausal	Yes	FO	No
EPR (Piskač, de Moura, Bjørner)	Clausal w.o. functions	Yes	FO	No

Conclusions and future work

- Hopefully, efficient CDCL-based CL prover
- Applications in geometry (and education)